

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

MICHAEL R. COHEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

HENDERSON JUSTICE COURT, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01708-RFB-EJY

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 3) of the Honorable Elayna J. Youchah, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on September 19, 2024. A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by October 3, 2024. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

///

///

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 3) is
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's claims against the Henderson Justice Court,
4 Clark County District Attorney's Office, and Clark County Public Defender's Office be
5 DISMISSED with prejudice.
6

7
8
9 **DATED:** October 21, 2024



11 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28